An MRI-Based Method to Align the Compressive Loading Axis for Human Cadaveric Knees
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Introduction

Experimental studies of the tibiofemoral joint subjected to compressive loading are commonly performed to study tibiofemoral contact [1–4]. Tibiofemoral alignment has also been shown to affect contact pressures and areas during compression of the knee joint [5] and knee joint arthroplasties [6]. Hence, to interpret results obtained using tibiofemoral compression, to ensure repeatability of assigned loading within and among specimens, and to provide reproducibility between studies, there is a need to achieve accurate loading of the tibiofemoral joint along a specified axis.

Notwithstanding the need to achieve loading along a specified axis in studies of tibiofemoral contact, there is little consistency in the methods that have been used to establish alignment of the compressive loading axis, and the methods have limitations. In some studies [1,3,4], little to no description of knee joint alignment with the loading axis of the material testing system used was provided. Biplanar radiographs have been used to determine anatomical landmark locations in the knee to define coordinate systems and loading axes [7]. This method, however, requires additional equipment, such as an alignment jig and analogous bone-holding components on the knee loading apparatus. External palpation has also been used for an appropriate placement of bone-holding clamps [8]; however, this method is subjective and may not be highly repeatable or reproducible. Alternatively, a knee loading apparatus may be equipped to establish a functional axis alignment by which axes are determined by minimizing coupled motions of the knee during the prescribed motion [9]. Components required to determine functional axes, including sensors and fixtures allowing adjustability in six degrees of freedom for both the tibia and femur, result in a complex loading apparatus. The corresponding trial and error alignment method can additionally be time consuming.

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) may be used to spatially locate bony landmarks in three dimensions. These locations can then be used to establish and maintain a specified axis of loading for a particular knee specimen. Given the need to load along a specified axis for tibiofemoral compression studies and the advantages of MRI to locate this axis, the objectives of this study were (1) to develop an MRI-based alignment method for use with apparatuses applying tibiofemoral joint compression, (2) to demonstrate the usefulness of the method by aligning cadaveric knees in an apparatus that could apply tibiofemoral joint compression, and (3) to quantify the error associated with our alignment method.

Methods and Materials

Alignment Method. Anatomically based coordinate systems were first established for the tibiofemoral joint (Fig. 1). For the
In the tibial coordinate system, the origin was defined as the point located midway between the tips of tibial eminences. The $Z_t$ axis, often called the mechanical axis of the tibia [10], was defined as a line passing through a point midway between the lateral and medial maleoli and the origin of the tibial coordinate system ($+Z_t$ proximal). The $X_t$ axis was defined as the cross product of $Z_t$ and a line connecting the tips of the tibial eminences ($+X_t$ anterior). The $Y_t$ axis was then defined as the cross product of $Z_t$ and $X_t$ ($+Y_t$ medial for a right knee). For the femoral coordinate system, only a flexion-extension axis was required for later positioning of the knee. Therefore, the $Y_f$ axis was defined as a line passing through the centers of circles estimated from the posterior portions of the femoral condyles ($+Y_f$ medial for a right knee), which is also known as the cylindrical axis of the knee [11]. For the sake of completing the femoral coordinate system, the origin was defined as the midpoint between the most medial and lateral aspects of the medial and lateral condyles, respectively, which intersected $Y_f$. The $X_f$ axis was defined as the cross product of $Y_f$ and a line from the origin of the femoral coordinate system to the center of the femoral head ($+X_f$ anterior), and the $Z_f$ axis was defined as the cross product of $X_f$ and $Y_f$ ($+Z_f$ proximal).

Once defined, the tibial mechanical axis was located for each of four cadaveric knees in three dimensions and aligned with the compressive loading axis of an apparatus using a four degree-of-freedom (4-DOF) adjustable attachment device [Fig. 2]. The knees from four whole human cadaveric legs (all male, average age: 47.8 years, range: 36–55 years) free from degenerative joint disease or defects, as determined by visual inspection and viewing anterior-posterior (A-P) and lateral radiographs, were first prepared by dissecting soft tissues from the tibia and femur at a...
distance of approximately 13 cm in either direction from the joint line. For each specimen, the foot was removed while maintaining a relative alignment of the lateral and medial maleoli by preserving connective tissues between distal ends of the tibia and fibula. The tibia was potted in an acrylic tube having a plastic end cap using polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA) by fixing the femur horizontally and allowing the tibia to hang free, with its mechanical axis aligned approximately with the long axis of a vertically oriented acrylic tube. The tube and specimen were left undisturbed for at least 30 min so that the PMMA could fully cure. The plastic 4-DOF device was attached to the end cap on the distal end of the tube. To determine the 3D orientation of each tibia relative to the 4-DOF device, a group of three Delrin spheres (each with a diameter of 12.7 mm ± 0.025 mm) surrounded by an MRI-detectable silicone gel (Sylgard 527 dielectric gel, Dow Corning Corporation, Midland, MI) in a rectangular compartment was located on the 4-DOF device on the plate used for A-P adjustment at a known translation from the center of rotation of the device when each component of the device was in its neutral position. A single Delrin sphere, surrounded by silicone gel in a cylindrical compartment, was located at the distal end of the device at a known distance from the center of rotation of the device.

Next, MR images were collected to determine adjustment values required to align the loading axis of the 4-DOF device with the mechanical axis of each tibia. A 1.5 T MRI scanner (GE Medical Systems, Waukesha, WI) was used to collect a set of images of the device and distal end of the tibia as well as a set of images of the knee. Device and distal tibia images were obtained using spoiled gradient echo sequence (SPGR) (TR = 200, TE = 1.532, flip angle = 80, slice thickness = 5, spacing between slices = 0.5 mm, number of averages = 4, resolution = 1.48 × 1.48 mm², and field of view = 380 × 380 cm²), and knee images were obtained using a 3D gradient recalled echo sequence (GRE) (TR = 20.6, TE = 9.625, flip angle = 20, slice thickness = 1.5, spacing between slices = 0, number of averages = 1, resolution = 0.47 × 0.47 mm², and field of view = 240 × 240 cm²). Bony landmarks and the centers of the Delrin spheres on the 4-DOF device were then located in three dimensions using MR images, and 3D locations were used to determine adjustment values for the 4-DOF device as detailed in the Appendix.

Once adjustment values were determined (details in the Appendix), the plastic 4-DOF device was replaced with an aluminum version of the device, which was aligned with the mechanical axis of the tibia. The plastic 4-DOF device was first removed, and an aluminum end cap, which had the same holes as the plastic end cap to define the location of the device, was bolted and cemented to the acrylic tube in place of the plastic end cap. The acrylic tube was then potted in an aluminum tube using PMMA to increase the rigidity of the tibial end of the specimen. Using known adjustment values, rotations of the hinge parts of the device about the pivot block were first achieved to the closest 0.5 deg increment. These rotations were measured by placing each hinge in a custom fixture, attaching a small extension piece to the pivot block, aligning the center of the extension piece to the desired 0.5 deg increment scribed onto the fixture, and tightening bolts through each hinge. The first plate of the 4-DOF device was bolted to the aluminum end cap, and medial-lateral (M-L) and A-P translations of the 4-DOF device were achieved to the nearest millimeter while attaching the slotted plates, to which the universal joint (composed of the hinge components and the pivot block) was attached, to the first plate of the device. A pin with an orthogonal through-hole projected from the most distal hinge part in lieu of the cylindrical compartment located on the plastic version of the device, which could be slipped into a mating part on the end of the piston rod of the actuating cylinder of the loading apparatus to fix the alignment of the loading axis with Zt.

A reference position was then defined for each specimen. First, the flexion-extension or cylindrical axis of the knee was located by flexing and extending the knee and locating a medial and lateral point on the knee, which did not move during the flexion-extension motion. This axis was marked on the skin of the knee. The femoral head and greater trochanter were next removed from the femur, and the remaining femoral bone was potted in an aluminum tube using PMMA. The femoral bone tube was inserted into the femoral clamp on the loading apparatus, and the 4-DOF device was fixed to the actuating piston rod (Fig. 3). The loading apparatus allowed adjustment of the knee specimen in six degrees of freedom, and the specimen was moved such that the flexion-extension (F-E) axis marked on the specimen was aligned with the F-E axis of the apparatus. A-P, M-L, and compression-distraction (C-D) translations, in addition to varus-valgus (V-V) and internal-external (I-E) rotations, were achieved by moving the slotted plate on which the actuating cylinder was attached relative to the frame of the apparatus, while the F-E rotation, additional I-E rotation, and additional C-D translation were achieved with the femur clamp. After locking the tibial side of the specimen in place and locking the I-E rotation and C-D translation in place on the femoral side, the specimen was manually extended on the femoral side.
until resistance was felt [13,14]. The specimen was then defined to be in a 0 deg flexion reference position from which alternative flexion or hyperextension angles could be achieved.

The alignment method with its attendant equations described in the Appendix were verified. For each knee, vectors describing the mechanical axis of the tibia and the initial loading axis of the apparatus were computed and plotted. Computed A-P, M-L, I-E, and V-V adjustment values were then used to determine and plot the new loading axis of the apparatus. Alignment was deemed successful if the mechanical axis of the tibia was collinear with the new loading axis.

Error Analysis. To quantify the error associated with the alignment method, the precisions of the adjustments computed by the algorithm described in the Appendix were determined using the Monte Carlo technique. The Monte Carlo technique was used twice, once to determine the variance of the normally distributed error, which should be applied to the coordinate inputs as a result of inaccuracies in the MR imaging, and a second time to determine the precision in the adjustments knowing the error in coordinate inputs.

To determine the error in the coordinate inputs, the distance between the centers of each pair of the four Delrin spheres of the 4-DOF device (e.g., \( |\mathbf{r}_p^{1/y0} - \mathbf{r}_p^{2/y0} | \)) was determined from the MR images. Refer to the Appendix for definitions of vectors. The error in each distance was determined using the corresponding known distance from the manufacturing plans. The pooled standard deviation of the error in the distances was computed enough times to find a pooled standard deviation (hereafter termed computed coordinate precision) stable to two decimal places. The variance of the random, normally distributed error applied was iteratively changed until the computed standard deviation of the distances equaled the target value.

The variant found in the first application of the Monte Carlo technique was used to define the random, independent, and normally distributed error with zero mean applied to each coordinate input \((\mathbf{r}_p^{1/g0}, \mathbf{r}_p^{2/g0}, \mathbf{r}_p^{3/g0}, \mathbf{r}_p^{4/g0}, \mathbf{r}_p^{5/g0}, \mathbf{r}_p^{6/g0})\) in the adjustment algorithm in the Appendix. The pooled standard deviations of the adjustment values (A-P and M-L translations (Eqs. (A26) and (A27)) for translational standard deviations and F-E and V-V rotations (Eqs. (A33) and (A34)) for rotational standard deviations) were computed until the pooled standard deviations (hereafter termed adjustment precisions) were stable to two decimal places.

Results

Alignment was successful for all four knees. The vectors describing the mechanical axis of the tibia and the loading axis of the apparatus after application of the computed adjustment values were collinear for all four specimens (Fig. 4). Further, the computed adjustment values were relatively small (Table 1), indicating a good initial approximation of alignment during potting of the tibia.

The precision inherent to the MR imaging in determining distances between pairs of spheres in the 4-DOF device was 0.56 mm. From the first application of the Monte Carlo technique, the coordinate precision that corresponded to this error was
3 −12 1 −1.0 1.0
2 −2 3 0.5 1.5
1 −4 1 −0.5 1.0

Table 1 Values required to adjust the 4-DOF attachment device in each degree of freedom for each of four cadaveric knees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen</th>
<th>A-P (mm)</th>
<th>M-L (mm)</th>
<th>V-V (deg)</th>
<th>F-E (deg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>−4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>−0.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>−2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>−12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>−1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>−1.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

0.40 mm. From the second application of the Monte Carlo technique, the precisions in the translational and rotational adjustments were 1.20 mm and 0.90 deg, respectively.

Discussion

Given the need to achieve specified loading in knee compression studies, the objectives were (1) to develop a MRI-based alignment method for use with apparatuses applying tibiofemoral joint compression, (2) to demonstrate the usefulness of the method by aligning cadaveric knees in an apparatus that could apply tibiofemoral joint compression, and (3) to quantify the error associated with the alignment method.

There are several advantages to using our MRI-based alignment method. First, additional equipment required to achieve alignment is minimized. Although an MR scanner is required, using the adjustable 4-DOF device allows alignment in a relatively simple loading apparatus (Fig. 3). This is important because in studies using MRI to investigate the effects of tibiofemoral compression, for example, a simple design is imperative to achieve desired load levels while meeting necessary material, size, and portability constraints such that it can be used in an off-site MR scanner. Determination of functional axes would require that sensors be removable from an MRI-compatible apparatus, which could allow six degrees of freedom of adjustment while minimizing constraining loads at the knee joint [9]. Achieving all of these degrees of freedom would require a complex apparatus that might not meet the portability constraint. Alignment using biplanar radiographs [7] would require additional resources to construct and store an extra alignment jig.

Another advantage is that the amount of time that the specimen is handled using the new alignment method is minimized because adjustment values of the 4-DOF device are determined by computation. This allows the bulk of alignment to be completed prior to insertion of the specimen in the apparatus. The use of bone-holding clamps requires manipulation of the specimen until alignment is achieved [8], and identification of a functional alignment can be arduous and time consuming as a trial and error process [9].

A third advantage is that using MRI in the new alignment method allows noninvasive capture of 3D bony geometry. This is advantageous in that 3D locations are used to systematically define a loading axis, which should result in a more repeatable and reproducible alignment method as compared to operator-dependent alignment using bone-holding clamps.

Additionally, the method could be adapted for use with CT images. Lastly, in studies in which either bone or soft tissue deformation is to be observed using MRI, as might be done for the computation of 3D cartilage deformations [15], establishing an MRI-based loading axis will allow later correlation of the loading axis to observed structural deformations.

While the alignment method described herein focuses on the tibia, the use of a second adjustable device would strengthen the method. The attachment of a similar adjustable device to the end of the femur would allow independent alignment of the femur. This would allow alignment of both bones based on 3D bony landmarks found using MRI, and it would be useful to study tibiofemoral contact in which knees are purposefully misaligned.

The method would also be strengthened by the use of a surface recognition software. In the present study, bony landmarks were located manually by stepping through MR image sets of the distal tibia, device, and knee and by selecting pixel locations of landmarks. The use of an algorithm to determine volumetric models of bones as well as algorithms to define the locations of bony landmarks assumed to be either spheres or points on the surface of the bones might remove operator subjectivity and lead to improved reproducibility between observers. The use of such algorithms might be more efficient for evaluating a larger number of specimens.

Using the Monte Carlo technique was a practical way to quantify the error associated with the alignment method. While specimens could have been reimaged to determine adjustment precisions, the number of imaging sessions required to achieve meaningful data would have been prohibitively expensive and time consuming to perform. The Monte Carlo technique produced average data based on hundreds of simulations of the alignment algorithm and allowed an investigation of the alignment error attributed to inaccuracies in the MR images. The adjustment precisions computed using the Monte Carlo technique (1.20 mm and 0.90 deg) illustrate that an image resolution of 1.48×1.48 mm² for images of the Delrin spheres resulted in misalignments comparable to the adjustment resolution of the 4-DOF device (1.0 mm and 0.5 deg).

The use of two 4-DOF adjustable devices manufactured from different materials was not an important source of error in our study. First, the dimensional accuracy in manufacturing the devices was the same; all critical dimensions that affect the alignment were manufactured to ±0.051 mm. Second, both devices were fabricated and used at room temperature. The maximum length change for a 12.7 mm long Delrin part (the longest dimension of any one part with the largest coefficient of thermal expansion) for a 5 deg maximum expected change in room temperature is 0.043 mm, which is comparable to the manufacturing tolerance. Accordingly, the potential error due to both manufacturing tolerances and material differences of the devices was approximately 20 times less than the 1 mm increment used for translational adjustability. Additionally, during transportation of the device, all parts were locked into position and care was taken not to load the device so that the alignment was affected. Therefore, error due to the use of two adjustable devices was negligible.

Potting the tibia after removing soft tissues was required for rigid fixation of the knee in this alignment method. Initially, whole human cadaveric legs were desired to be used to better simulate the application of the loading method in vivo, and fiberglass casts were placed on the feet of specimens over a plate allowing attachment of the 4-DOF device to the heel. However, the casts did not allow for adequate fixation because specimens inevitably lost fluid to either the air or cast padding, which resulted in loosening of the cast around the foot and ankle. Placing a cast over soft tissues also made it more difficult to initially achieve an approximate visual alignment of the mechanical axis of the tibia with the loading axis as compared to potting the dissected tibia in a clear acrylic tube. This was apparent with the calculation of larger adjustment values as compared to potting the dissected tibia in a clear acrylic tube. The method would also be strengthened by the use of a surface recognition software. In the present study, bony landmarks were located manually by stepping through MR image sets of the distal tibia, device, and knee and by selecting pixel locations of landmarks. The use of an algorithm to determine volumetric models of bones as well as algorithms to define the locations of bony landmarks assumed to be either spheres or points on the surface of the bones might remove operator subjectivity and lead to improved reproducibility between observers. The use of such algorithms might be more efficient for evaluating a larger number of specimens.
achieved for cadaveric studies of tibiofemoral contact. Additionally, a tibiofemoral contact study could be conducted to compare various physiological, mechanical, and functional loading axes.

In summary, this study developed a new MRI-based alignment method for use with apparatuses applying tibiofemoral joint compression. The method was demonstrated by aligning cadaveric knees in an apparatus that could apply tibiofemoral compression, and the errors associated with the alignment method were quantified using the Monte Carlo technique. The alignment method will allow more consistent interpretation of results obtained during tibiofemoral compressive studies conducted using the apparatus described in this paper by providing a well-defined loading axis. The alignment method may also be adapted and/or improved for use with other apparatuses applying tibiofemoral compression.
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Appendix

Definition of Landmarks in Magnetic Resonance Images

Bony landmarks and the centers of Delrin spheres were located manually by stepping through collected MR image slices. The lateral and medial eminences were identified in MR images as the center of the eminence in the most proximal image slice in which each eminence could be viewed (p5 and p6, respectively). Lateral and medial maleoli were assumed to be spheres, and the centers of the maleoli (p7 and p8) were found in three dimensions by using two-dimensional coordinates of each center identified in two orthogonal planes but taking the average of the redundant coordinate. Similarly, the centers of Delrin spheres were found in three dimensions by using two-dimensional coordinates of each center identified in two orthogonal planes but taking the average of the redundant coordinate.

Calculation of Adjustment Values for the Four Degree-of-Freedom Device

The locations of the centers of the Delrin spheres on the 4-DOF device \( (r_{g_H}, r_{g_P}, r_{g_D}, r_{g_L}) \) and tibial landmarks \( (r_{g_H}, r_{g_P}, r_{g_D}, r_{g_L}) \) defining the orientation of Z were first found on the basis of the global coordinate system of the MRI scanner, g (Fig. 5). The center of the tibial eminences and the center of the tibial maleoli were

\[
   r_{g_H} = \frac{r_{g_H} + r_{g_L}}{2}
\]

and

\[
   r_{g_D} = \frac{r_{g_D} + r_{g_L}}{2}
\]

respectively. A local coordinate system \( d \), having an origin \( d_0 \), was defined by the group of three Delrin spheres on the 4-DOF device. The translation to \( d_0 \) from \( g_0 \) on the \( g \) basis was determined as
and vectors to the centers of the Delrin spheres on medial and anterior sides of the three-sphere group on the 4-DOF device from $d0$ on the $g$ basis were

$$\mathbf{r}_g^{p1/0} = \mathbf{r}_g^{p1/0} - \mathbf{r}_g^{d0/0}$$  \hspace{1cm} (A4)

and

$$\mathbf{r}_g^{p2/0} = \mathbf{r}_g^{p2/0} - \mathbf{r}_g^{d0/0}$$  \hspace{1cm} (A5)

Unit vectors were then computed for $x$, $y$, and $z$ axes of the $d$ basis by

$$\mathbf{d}_x = d_{x, 1} \mathbf{g}_x + d_{x, 2} \mathbf{g}_y + d_{x, 3} \mathbf{g}_z = \mathbf{r}_g^{p2/0} / |\mathbf{r}_g^{p2/0}|$$  \hspace{1cm} (A6)

$$\mathbf{d}_y = d_{y, 1} \mathbf{g}_x + d_{y, 2} \mathbf{g}_y + d_{y, 3} \mathbf{g}_z = \mathbf{r}_g^{p1/0} / |\mathbf{r}_g^{p1/0}|$$  \hspace{1cm} (A7)

and

$$\mathbf{d}_z = d_{z, 1} \mathbf{g}_x + d_{z, 2} \mathbf{g}_y + d_{z, 3} \mathbf{g}_z = \mathbf{d}_x \times \mathbf{d}_y$$  \hspace{1cm} (A8)

where $d_{x, i}$, $d_{y, i}$, etc. are direction cosines as defined below. The locations of the center of the tibial eminences and center of the tibial maleoli as well as the single Delrin sphere on the distal end of the 4-DOF device were then found on the $d$ basis. First, the matrix of direction cosines to the $d$ basis from the $g$ basis was

$$[\mathbf{R}^{d/g}] = \begin{bmatrix} d_{x,0} & d_{x,1} & d_{x,2} \\ d_{y,0} & d_{y,1} & d_{y,2} \\ d_{z,0} & d_{z,1} & d_{z,2} \end{bmatrix}$$  \hspace{1cm} (A9)

Vectors to the center of the tibial eminences, the center of the tibial maleoli, and the center of the single sphere on the end of the device from $d0$ were found on the $g$ basis to be

$$\mathbf{r}_g^{p9/0} = \mathbf{r}_g^{p9/0} - \mathbf{r}_g^{d0/0}$$  \hspace{1cm} (A10)

$$\mathbf{r}_g^{p10/0} = \mathbf{r}_g^{p10/0} - \mathbf{r}_g^{d0/0}$$  \hspace{1cm} (A11)

and

$$\mathbf{r}_g^{p4/0} = \mathbf{r}_g^{p4/0} - \mathbf{r}_g^{d0/0}$$  \hspace{1cm} (A12)

respectively, followed by the determination of these vectors in the $d$ basis by

$$\mathbf{r}_d^{p9/0} = [\mathbf{R}^{d/g}] [\mathbf{r}_g^{p9/0}]$$  \hspace{1cm} (A13)

$$\mathbf{r}_d^{p10/0} = [\mathbf{R}^{d/g}] [\mathbf{r}_g^{p10/0}]$$  \hspace{1cm} (A14)

and

$$\mathbf{r}_d^{p4/0} = [\mathbf{R}^{d/g}] [\mathbf{r}_g^{p4/0}]$$  \hspace{1cm} (A15)

The location of the center of the tibial eminences, the center of the tibial maleoli, and the center of the single sphere on the end of the device were then determined from the center of rotation of the device, $j0$, using the known translation to $j0$ from $d0$ of $\mathbf{r}_d^{d0/0} = -53.97 \text{ mm } \mathbf{d}_x - 41.27 \text{ mm } \mathbf{d}_z$ using

$$\mathbf{r}_d^{p9/0} = \mathbf{r}_d^{p9/0} - \mathbf{r}_d^{j0/0}$$  \hspace{1cm} (A16)

$$\mathbf{r}_d^{p10/0} = \mathbf{r}_d^{p10/0} - \mathbf{r}_d^{j0/0}$$  \hspace{1cm} (A17)

$$\mathbf{r}_d^{p4/0} = \mathbf{r}_d^{p4/0} - \mathbf{r}_d^{j0/0}$$  \hspace{1cm} (A18)

These three vectors were then found on the $j$ basis. The matrix of direction cosines to the $j$ basis from the $d$ basis was

$$[\mathbf{R}^{j/d}] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$  \hspace{1cm} (A19)

and the vectors were computed as

$$\mathbf{r}_j^{p9/0} = [\mathbf{R}^{j/d}] [\mathbf{r}_d^{p9/0}]$$  \hspace{1cm} (A20)

V-V and F-E rotations required to complete the alignment of $Z_t$ with the loading axis of the device were then determined. First, point $p12$ was defined as the location of the center of the tibial maleoli on the $j$ basis once A-P and M-L translations were made to the 4-DOF device. This was achieved mathematically by

$$\mathbf{r}_j^{p12/0} = \mathbf{r}_j^{p10/0} - \mathbf{r}_j^{p11/0}$$  \hspace{1cm} (A28)

The angles that vectors $\mathbf{r}_j^{p4/0}$ and $\mathbf{r}_j^{p12/0}$ made about the $jz$ axis from the $jx$ axis (Fig. 6) were determined by

$$\theta_i = \tan^{-1} (r_j^{p4/0} jy / r_j^{p4/0} jx)$$  \hspace{1cm} (A29)
The V-V rotation adjustment value was computed as
\[
\theta_v = \tan^{-1}\left( \frac{r_{12}^{p4}(0)}{r_{12}^{p4}(0) - r_{12}^{p4}(0) j} \right)
\]  
(A30)

and the angles that vectors \( r_{12}^{p4}(0) \) and \( r_{12}^{p4}(0) j \) made with respect to the \( j_x-j_y \) plane were determined by
\[
\varphi_1 = \sin^{-1}\left( \frac{r_{12}^{p4}(0) j}{|r_{12}^{p4}(0)|} \right)
\]  
(A31)

and
\[
\varphi_2 = \sin^{-1}\left( \frac{r_{12}^{p4}(0) j}{|r_{12}^{p4}(0)|} \right)
\]  
(A32)

The V-V rotation adjustment value was computed as
\[
\text{V-V rotation} = (\theta_v - \theta_t + \pi)(180 \text{ deg/}\pi)
\]  
(A33)

and the F-E rotation adjustment value was computed as
\[
\text{F-E rotation} = (\varphi_2 - \varphi_1 + \pi)(180 \text{ deg/}\pi)
\]  
(A34)
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